

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,227	03/14/2002	David E. Farrell	CWR 2 0265	6563
7	590 10/02/2002			
Fay Sharpe Fagan Minnich & McKee			EXAMINER	
1100 Superior Seventh Floor			PATIDAR, JAY M	
Cleveland, OH 44114-2518			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2862	
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		w			
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
_	09/937,227	FARRELL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jay M. Patidar	2862			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period f	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of totory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mill, by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on				
2a) This action is FINAL.	b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-15</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5 and 16</u> is/are rejected	i.				
7) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a					
Applicant may not request that any object					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lang 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	D-948) 5) 🔲 Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/937,227

Art Unit: 2862

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Page 2

- 2. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.
- 3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the subject matter e.g. lines 6-12 of claim 6 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/937,227

Art Unit: 2862

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 4, the phrase "(in-vivo)" renders the claim indefinite and should be deleted.

The claims not specifically addressed share the indefiniteness as they depend from rejected base claims.

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,2,4-5,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kugai (US 5,589,772).

Kugai discloses a non-destructive testing equipment with a magnetic field generating means (6); a superconducting quantum interference device (22); and a flux transformer (21) that couples the susceptivity signal to the superconducting quantum interference device (Note fig. 1). The use of SQUID sensor for human body would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. The method claim

Application/Control Number: 09/937,227 Page 4

Art Unit: 2862

recited for using the apparatus in claim 16 is an inherent use of the apparatus of Kugai and is rejected on the same grounds. One of ordinary skill would find it inherent that the apparatus of Kugai operates in the functional manner claimed by applicant.

- 7. Claims 6-15 are allowed.
- 8. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jay M. Patidar whose telephone number is 703-308-6723. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:00-5:30.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,227

Art Unit: 2862

S : 12

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-0956.

yay M. Patidar
Primary Examiner
Tech Center 2862
October 1, 2002